


PROJECT ADMINISTRATION DATA SHEET☒ ORIGINAL ☐ REVISION NO. _____Project No. G-35-679 (R6186-0A0) GTRC/~~XXX~~ DATE 8 / 19 / 86Project Director: A. Coletti School/~~XXX~~ Geo SciSponsor: U.S. Army Research OfficeType Agreement: DAAL03-86-K-0153Award Period: From 8/1/86 To 7/31/87 (Performance) 12/30/87 (Reports)

Sponsor Amount: This Change Total to Date

Estimated: \$ _____ \$ 20,521Funded: \$ _____ \$ 20,521Cost Sharing Amount: \$ 818 Cost Sharing No: G-35-371Title: Light Scattering by Charged SpheresADMINISTRATIVE DATAOCA Contact John B. Schonk1) Sponsor Technical Contact:2) Sponsor Admin/Contractual Matters:Dr. W.A. Flood - Geoscience Div.Donald H. CalderArmy Research Office ONR RRP.O. Box 12211 Georgia TechResearch Triangle Park, NC27709-2211Defense Priority Rating: N/A Military Security Classification: Unclassified(or) Company/Industrial Proprietary: N/ARESTRICTIONSSee Attached N/A Supplemental Information Sheet for Additional Requirements.

Travel: Foreign travel must have prior approval - Contact OCA in each case. Domestic travel requires sponsor approval where total will exceed greater of \$500 or 125% of approved proposal budget category.

Equipment: Title vests with GIT; see block 27(continued) concerning prior approval.COMMENTS:COPIES TO:SPONSOR'S I. D. NO. 02.102.007.86.043Project Director
Research Administrative Network
Research Property Management
AccountingProcurement/GTRI Supply Services
Research Security Services
Reports Coordinator (OCA)
Research Communications (2)GTRC
Library
Project File
Other A. Jones

SPONSORED PROJECT TERMINATION/CLOSEOUT SHEET

Date 4/6/88

Project No. G-35-679 School/~~Lab~~ XXX Geo. Sci.

Includes Subproject No.(s) N/A

Project Director(s) A. Coletti GTRC/~~XXX~~

Sponsor ARMY

Title LIGHT SCATTERING BY CHARGED SPHERES

Effective Completion Date: 7/31/87 (Performance) 12/14/87 (Reports)

Grant/Contract Closeout Actions Remaining:

- ☐ None
- ☒ Final Invoice or Copy of Last Invoice Serving as Final
- ☒ Release and Assignment
- ☒ Final Report of Inventions and/or Subcontract:
Patent and Subcontract Questionnaire
sent to Project Director ☒
- ☒ Govt. Property Inventory & Related Certificate
- ☐ Classified Material Certificate
- ☐ Other _____

Continues Project No. _____ Continued by Project No. _____

COPIES TO:

Project Director
Research Administrative Network
Research Property Management
Accounting
Procurement/GTRI Supply Services
Research Security Services
Reports Coordinator (OCA)
Program Administration Division
Contract Support Division

Facilities Management - ERB
Library
GTRC
Project File
Other _____

G-5257

24517-GS

PROGRESS REPORT

TWENTY COPIES REQUIRED

1. ARO PROPOSAL NUMBER: 24517-GS
2. PERIOD COVERED BY REPORT: 1 July 1986 - 31 December 1986
3. TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Light Scattering by Charged Spheres
4. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: DAAL03-86-K-0153
5. NAME OF INSTITUTION: Georgia Institute of Technology
6. AUTHORS OF REPORT: Alessandro Coletti
7. LIST OF MANUSCRIPTS SUBMITTED OR PUBLISHED UNDER ARO SPONSORSHIP DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD, INCLUDING JOURNAL REFERENCES:
8. SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL SUPPORTED BY THIS PROJECT AND DEGREES AWARDED DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD:

Alessandro Coletti

A. Coletti
School of Geophysical Sciences
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, GA 30332

BRIEF OUTLINE OF RESEARCH FINDINGS

In the period between July and December 1986, we have assembled an electrodynamic balance to levitate micrometric particles. We have performed tests on particles charges, and we have tested the overall procedure for the measurements on light scattering as a function of surface charge density. These preliminary measurements have demonstrated the existence of a possibly new phenomena.

The electrodynamic levitation system, built on drawings kindly made available to us from Dr. D. Capps (Boeing Corporation, Seattle), has been connected with the electronics, and sample particles have been levitated with methods suggested by Dr. Capps, Dr. S. Arnold (Polytechnic Institute of New York), and Dr. J. Bottiger (CSL, Aberdeen Proving Ground).

Different procedures for charging solid and liquid particles have been tested with various experimental set-ups. This effort is still in progress in the attempt to further increase the values of surface charge density on our test particles.

Preliminary measurements on glass spheres have been performed in collaboration with Dr. Paul Wine at Georgia Tech Research Institute. The experiments were performed in his laboratory using a CW dye laser operating in the wavelength interval 650 - 700 nm. For the time being, a manual procedure has been used both for varying the wavelength output of the laser and for reading the output of the photomultiplier. By the end of the program, we hope to be able to record simultaneously on an x-y-plotter wavelength and signal in order to improve the resolution of our results.

During the measurements when decreasing the surface charge of glass spheres with a 0.01 Ci beta source, a temporary variation of the light scattering efficiency has been observed with disappearance of the resonances. The effect occurs for relatively low values of surface charge densities, and the resonances reappear unperturbed several minutes (10-45) after the particles have been partially discharged. The relatively long times involved in the process, makes us think that an accumulation of ions onto the glass surface charge domains disturbs the spherical symmetry of the surface. The perturbation disappears slowly due to the high surface resistivity of the glass that tends to restore an isotropic distribution of charges. A research is in the process to confirm and to better understand this unexpected process that might open new experimental possibilities in studies of electrification in dielectrics and electretes.

FINAL REPORT

LIGHT SCATTERING BY CHARGED SPHERES

**PREPARED BY
ALESSANDRO COLETTI**

**PREPARED FOR
U. S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE**

CONTRACT DAAL03-86-K-153

JANUARY 1988

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
A UNIT OF THE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF GEORGIA
SCHOOL OF GEOPHYSICAL SCIENCES
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.	
2. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	
4a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION School of Geophysical Sciences Georgia Institute of Technology		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	
4b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION U. S. Army Research Office	
4c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211	
8. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION U. S. Army Research Office		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	
		PROJECT NO.	
		TASK NO.	
		WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Light Scattering by Charged Spheres			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Alessandro Coletti			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b. TIME COVERED FROM 8/86 TO 8/87	
14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1988, January 29		15. PAGE COUNT 15	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION The view, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.			
COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	
		light scattering, resonances, surface excess charge	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The major goal of this project was to verify experimentally the theoretical model that Bohren and Hunt (1977) proposed to describe light scattering by electrically charged spherical particles. Our experimental set-up did not allow us to totally verify their model because of the preliminary nature of our measurements. However the experimental results obtained can be considered as evidence where experimental changes in the light scattering by spherical particles can be ascribed to surface charge effects.			
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code)	
		22c. OFFICE SYMBOL	

LIGHT SCATTERING BY CHARGED SPHERES

FINAL REPORT

ALESSANDRO COLETTI

JANUARY 1988

U.S. ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE

CONTRACT DAAL03-86-K-0153

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
SCHOOL OF GEOPHYSICAL SCIENCES

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction.....	1
2. The Theoretical Model.....	2
3. The Experimental Method.....	5
4. Conclusions.....	6
5. List of Participating Personnel.....	7
6. References.....	8

1. Introduction

The Lorenz-Mie theory for light scattering by homogeneous isotropic spheres assumes that the spheres are uncharged and that there are no external electric fields. The wide range of validity of the Lorenz-Mie theory has been assessed in the laboratory and in the applications; therefore the experimental errors in measurements of particle light scattering have never been associated with surface charge effect because of the unknown relevance of the problem. However, the presently growing interest on electrooptical effects and non-linear optics, justified this short investigation aimed to verify directly the effect of surface charges on particle light scattering.

Direct evidence of optical effects of surface charges has been obtained by Feinlab (1966) using synchronous light detection on polished gold electrodes in an electrolytic bath configuration. Garrigos et al (1974) interpreted Feinlab's results with a model of surface conductivity on metallic gold and Bohren and Hunt have extended the Lorenz-Mie theory to the case of charged spherical particles by including a surface conductivity term in the calculations.

The surface conductivity can be visualized as a monomolecular layer having optical properties different from the bulk of the material whose properties can be represented by a complex number. The real part of the surface conductivity accounts for the electrical losses of the incoming wave on the surface, the imaginary part for its electrical impedance.

In this note we present experimental results where the light scattering by glass particles systematically varied with their surface charge, and some numerical results describing the effect on spherical particle of the surface conductivity according to the Bohren and Hunt model.

Even though at the present time theoretical treatments analogous to the one presented here for the spherical case are not available for cylinders, or for other non-spherical geometries, nevertheless our numerical results can give some indication to what can be expected in the other cases.

The experiments conducted in our laboratory on glass spheres in the electrostatic case, have shown a variation of the light scattering with the surface charge of the particles. Since our experimental set up did not allow us to exclude conclusively the presence of possible undesired experimental effects, we do not consider these experiments conclusive.

2. The Theoretical Model

The Bohren and Hunt model can be summarized considering a particle, with radius a , charged with charge Q confined onto its surface. Then it is possible to define an excess surface charge density, η_s , on the surface A of the particle so that:

$$\int \eta_s dA = Q \quad 1)$$

When the surface charge is acted upon an oscillatory electric field, it is useful to divide the charge density into two components, a static component η_0 , and an oscillatory component η_e , so that the total charge can be conserved in the calculations:

$$\eta_s = \eta_0 + \eta \quad 2)$$

where

$$\eta = \text{Re} \{ \tilde{\eta} \exp(-i\omega t) \} \quad 3)$$

and

$$\int \eta_0 dA = Q \quad 4)$$

$$\int \tilde{\eta} dA = 0 \quad 5)$$

At this point a surface current density can be defined introducing the vector u_t of the tangential velocity of the charge on the surface:

$$K = \eta_s u_t \quad 6)$$

and as a consequence a surface conductivity σ_s can be introduced:

$$K = \sigma_s E_{1t} \quad 7)$$

The surface conductivity of the SI units can be expressed in $(\text{ohm m})^{-1}$ and can be thought of as an empirical complex quantity characteristic of the surface of the material under consideration at the frequency of the field.

This equation is used by Bohren and Hunt to impose the boundary condition on the field in the medium that represents the superimposition of the incident and of the scattered field.

Denoting with subscript 1 the electric and magnetic fields inside the particle and with subscript 2 the fields outside, the system of the boundary condition on the surface of a particle of radius a , can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{E}_2 - \mathbf{E}_1) = 0$$

8)

$$\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{H}_1) = \mathbf{K}$$

so that using equation 7, it results:

$$\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{H}_1) = \sigma_s \mathbf{E}_{1t} \quad 9)$$

Within this hypothesis Bohren and Hunt developed their final results for the light scattering coefficients of the sphere where as usual:

$$C_{sca} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{km}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1) (|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2) \quad 10)$$

and

$$a_n = -A_n/V_n ; b_n = -B_n/W_n \quad 11)$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= \psi_n(mx) \psi_n'(x) - m \psi_n'(mx) \psi_n(x) + i \omega \sigma_s \mu_0 \psi_n(x) \psi_n(mx) / k_m \\ V_n &= \psi_n(mx) \xi_n'(x) - m \psi_n'(mx) \xi_n(x) + i \omega \sigma_s \mu_0 \psi_n(mx) \xi_n(x) / k_m \\ B_n &= \psi_n'(mx) \psi_n(x) - m \psi_n'(x) \psi_n(mx) - i \omega \sigma_s \mu_0 \psi_n'(x) \psi_n'(mx) / k_m \\ W_n &= \psi_n'(mx) \xi_n(x) - m \psi_n(mx) \xi_n'(x) - i \omega \sigma_s \mu_0 \psi_n'(mx) \xi_n'(x) / k_m \end{aligned} \quad 12)$$

contains new additional terms that do not appear in the Lorenz-Mie theory.

To understand the effect of the extra terms in the numerator and denominator of equation 11, it is useful to look at the scattering resonances discussed by Chylek et al (1978) for the spherical case.

For our calculations we have modified a light scattering program based on Wiscombe numerical method, and we have introduced the additional terms required by the equations.

The differences in the scattering coefficient existing between a spherical

particle with a refractive index $n=1.5$ and a similar particle with real surface charge conductivity equal to 5×10^{-8} SI units are shown in Figure 1. The figure shows how the introduction of a real surface conductivity decreases the scattering coefficient in the overall and at the same time dumps the amplitude of the natural resonances of the sphere. In fact, a purely real surface conductivity is equivalent to a purely resistive impedance on the surface that absorbs the incoming field on a non-light absorbing particle. Since this light absorption is occurring on the particle surface and in the majority of the natural processes involving evaporation or condensation the total charge is conserved, we can expect that the absorption coefficient of charged particle increases, decreasing the particle size parameter. For instance, Figure 2 compares extinction, scattering and absorption coefficients of two particles one light absorbing with a refractive index $n=1.5 - i 0.005$ and one with a refractive index purely real and a surface conductivity of 5×10^{-7} . The three coefficients assume approximately the same values for both particles at a size of about 16, but as expected, while the absorption coefficient of the light absorbing particle increases with the size parameter that of the charged particle decreases after reaching a maximum at about size 2.3.

Figure 3 compares the scattering coefficients of a non-light absorbing particle with that of charged particles for different values of the imaginary part of the surface conductivity (real part insignificantly small). Basically the imaginary surface conductivity affects the position of the resonant peaks of the sphere without changing significantly the average value of the scattering coefficient. Therefore, the effect of an imaginary component of the surface conductivity can be of interest in precision measurements of particle sizes or in micro particle Raman spectroscopy where the position of the natural resonances of a sphere are used to determine its size or its chemical composition (Arnold, 1980; Lettieri, 1984).

High resolution plots of light scattering of single particles reveal the effect of the surface charges that otherwise is insignificant on integrated quantities. In fact in the case of interstellar particle polydispersions, Professor Giese's group (Weiss, 1981) following the Bohren and Hunt calculations, estimated a correction in the light scattering of the order of one part over in 10^3 .

Furthermore, similar conclusions are also justified when looking at particle phase functions. In fact, the clearly measurable variation of the phase function reported in Figure 4 corresponds to a surface conductivity value

ten times larger than the one shown in the previous figures (5×10^{-7} against 5×10^{-6} in Figure 3), and such high values can be considered probably unlikely to occur.

3. The Experimental Method

For the experiments we have used an electrostatic particle levitation system that allows estimates of the particle charges and determinations of the light scattering resonances (Lettieri and Jenkins, 1984).

Our experimental set-up consisted of a tunable dye laser to illuminate the particle, an electrostatic levitation system for particle suspension, a microscope to stabilize visually the position of the particle within the electric field, and a monochromator tuned on the laser line.

The electrostatic levitation system served the function to keep the particle suspended in the center of the system, to measure the particle charges, and to measure the particle levitation force under the action of the laser. The system has been built and tested with the generous help of Dr. D. Capps (Boeing Co.) who also gave us very helpful suggestions during the program. We also kept close scientific contact with Dr. Arnold of the Polytechnic Institute of New York, and with Professor Bohren for the theoretical interpretation of the data.

To obtain charged particles there are various methods. Metallic particles, electrostatically suspended inside a quadrupole, can be charged with ultraviolet light before being conductive liquid solutions or suspensions can be charged keeping electrical contact between the bulk of the liquid in the spraying system and a power supply that polarizes a metallic ring placed in front of the nozzle (Liu and Pui, 1974).

In our experiments we have used solid glass spheres 15mm diameter, and a random amount of surface charge was simply obtained by triboelectric electrification. The polarization potential necessary to stabilize the particle in the quadrupole was used to quickly estimate if the particle captured in the field had a charge amount sufficient for staying balanced in the field after being discharged a few times with our radioactive source. Typically the charge amount that was achieved during the measurements with this method was of the order of 10^{-5} C m^{-2} (equivalent to 10^{16} electrons on the particle surface). Therefore as far as particle charging, our experiments can be considered to have been made under similar conditions as those performed by Bottiger et al (1980), and Wyatt and Phillips (1972).

During the experiments we have measured the light scattered at 90° by the

glass particles at 15 different wavelengths in the interval between 0.6570 and 0.6530 mm. The signal of the photomultiplier was constantly compared with the power emitted by the laser averaging the data in a multichannel analyzer.

Figure 5 shows a typical plot of the measurements. Each set of experimental points has been obtained for constant value of the particle surface charge and changing the wavelength of the dye laser. From the figure it appears that there are differences in the light scattering of the glass particle at the various levels of surface charge, that are well above the experimental errors. These variations cannot be accounted for by accidental variations of the luminous power of the dye laser. Under these circumstances it would appear that if the measured effect is actually due to the surface charge effect, the surface conductivity of our glass spheres was of the same order of magnitude of the one used in Figure 3 of 10^{-5} . This effect is rather unexpected at the low levels of surface charge densities used during the experiments, and unfortunately we have not been able to perform further investigations under vacuum in order to be able to exclude any contamination on the particle surface, and recharging the particles with ultraviolet light, to verify that, their light scattering coefficient would actually assume the values obtained before the discharge.

4. Conclusions

Variations of the light scattering by single electrostatically levitated spheres have been observed when changing the amount of the surface charge. The amount of the surface charges on our sample spheres was changed by exposing a radioactive source, located in the proximity of the sphere, at the end of each scan in wavelength. Before and after each experiment particular attention was spent in verifying that the spheres under use were regular and homogeneous under the optical microscope. This was done to insure that there were no visible deposits on the surface of the sample particle. However, since the basic experimental set-up was such that it was taking about 10 minutes to perform 15 readings at different wavelengths, and at times the particle surface charge would slightly change because of background natural radioactivity, we have not been able to obtain more than one scan in wavelength under the same experimental conditions.

Therefore, in our opinion, these experiments proved only that under laboratory controlled conditions, sensible optical effect can be observed on Mie spheres by subtle changes of the properties of the surface. The conclusion of this research period is that slight changes in the optical properties of glass

spheres can occur in time or varying the surface charge. Unfortunately, a separation of the two effects has not been possible with our experimental set-up. However, methods closer to those realized by Feinlab, where time varying potentials were used along with synchronous detection, might permit to separate the effect of surface charges versus that of possible surface contamination.

Our measurements, even within their limitations, have proven that the optical properties of surface can be seriously affected even by inconspicuous factors so that the difficulties experienced in measuring in the IR the optical constants of powders not only is not surprising but also might be originated by factors whose nature could be much more complex than generally believed. Within this perspective our measurements can be considered a first attempt toward the process of defining experiments for the direct investigations on isolated particle on these possible factors.

5. List of Participating Personnel

Dr. A. S. Coletti (PI)

Mr. C. M. Wyman

Graduate Student: Mr. Alan Chu

References

- Arnold S. and N. Hessel, 1985: Photoemission from Single Electrodynamically Levitated Microparticles, Rev. Sci. Inst., 56, 2066.
- Bohren, C.F. and A.J. Hunt, 1977: Scattering of electromagnetic waves by a charged sphere, Can. J. Physics, 55, 1930-1935.
- Bottiger, J.R., E.S. Fry, and R.C. Thompson, Phase Matrix Measurements for Electromagnetic Scattering by Sphere Aggregates, in Light Scattering by Irregularly Shaped Particles, 1980, Shuerman Ed.
- Chylek, P., J.T. Kiehl, and M.K.W. Ko, 1978: Optical levitation and partial wave resonances, Phys. Rev. A, 18, 2229-2233.
- Feinlab, T., 1966: Electroreflectance in metals, Phys. Rev. Lett., 16, 1200-1202.
- Garrigos, R., R. Kofman, and T. Richard, 1974: Phenomenological interpretation of electroreflectance of gold, Solid State Comm., 16, 1029-1031.
- Lettieri, T.R. and W.D. Jenkins: A Review of Ultrahigh Resolution Sizing of Single Droplets by Resonance Light Scattering, in Special Report Publication 848, 1984, American Society for Testing Materials.
- Liu, B.Y. and D.Y.H. Pui, 1974: Electrical Neutralization of Aerosols, Aerosol Science, 5, 465-472.
- Wiess, K., 1981: Laserstreuexperimente an Einzelteilchen zur Interpretation des optischen Verhaltnisses interplanetaren Staubes, Bereich Extraterrestrische Physik Ruhr Universitat Bochum.
- Wyatt, P.J. and D.T. Phillips, 1972: A new instrument for the study of individual aerosol particles, Colloid. Interface Sci., 39, 125-135.




Fig.1 Effect of the real part of the surface conductivity on the scattering coefficient as function of the size parameter (refractive index 1.5). a) surface conductivity 0; b) surface conductivity 5×10^{-8} .




Fig. 2 Extinction, scattering, and absorption coefficient of charged spheres with surface conductivity 5×10^{-7} ($m \approx 1.5$), and of light absorbing spheres ($m \approx 1.5 - i 0.005$)




Fig.3 Effect of the imaginary part of the surface conductivity on the scattering coefficient as function of the size parameter (refractive index 1.5). a) surface conductivity 0, b) surface conductivity $-5 \times 10^{-8}i$, c) surface conductivity -5×10^{-7} .




Fig.4 Polarized light scattering phase functions for a particle of size parameter $x=3$, refractive index 1.5, in the case of no surface charge and in the case of a surface conductivity of 5×10^{-7} .




Figure 5 Measured light scattering at 90° of glass spheres for various values of the levitating voltage as function of the dye laser wavelength.